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ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the pattern of histopathology in living-related, kidney transplant recipients (KTRs) from a transplant centre in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KPK), Pakistan.

Study Design: Descriptive, observational study.

Place and Duration of Study: Institute of Kidney Diseases, Peshawar, from August 2008 to July 2018.

Methodology: A retrospective review of graft biopsy reports and clinical charts from living-related, kidney transplant recipients was carried out. Allograft biopsies were done for graft dysfunction with no apparent cause. The biopsy pathology was classified according to updated Banff classifications. The descriptive statistics were used to tabulate the results.

Results: Out of the 55 biopsies, 51 (92.73%) were from males with mean age of 34.35±9.40 years. Out of 52 percutaneous biopsies, 10 (19.23%) belonged to the normal category. Category 2 (borderline rejection) and 3 (acute/active cellular rejection) were seen in three (5.7%) and one (1.9%) cases, respectively. Interstitial fibrosis/tubular atrophy (Banff Category 5) was observed in 18 (34.62%) cases. Banff Category 6 (others) was seen in 19 (36.5%) cases, in which calcineurin inhibitors (CNI) toxicity was commonest (17 [89.4%] of 19 cases). Mixed lesions were found in 19 (36.5%) cases. Out of the 19 mixed category cases, 12 (63.16%) showed both Category 3 and Category 5 changes with most of the cases showing mild to moderate IF/TA; while one case had severe IF/TA. Three graft nephrectomies were done, one each for recurrent oxalosis, nephroblastoma and fungal infection.

Conclusion: Among the studied specimens, mixed lesions were the predominant findings, followed by others (mostly CNI toxicity) and IFTA categories. The frequency of acute/active rejections was low and that of chronic changes higher, in keeping with delayed biopsies.
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is one of the major public health problems worldwide and a significant cause of morbidity and mortality, contributing to global economic burden. A report published by US Renal Data System (USRDS) reveals that there were 120,688 new cases of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) reported in 2014 with an annual rise of 1.1%. In Pakistan, it has been estimated that the incidence of ESRD is 100 per million population. The majority of patients who are started on hemodialysis, either die or stop treatment because of financial constraints within the first three months and less than 2% of patients are started on ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. Even though renal transplantation is the economical option, only about 4-5% of all patients with ESRD succeed in getting a transplant.

Renal transplantation is the preferred treatment for ESRD patients all over the world. In recent times, the success rate of renal transplantation has improved considerably. According to the recent data, 20-year-graft survival could be expected in 60% of kidney transplant recipients. The short-term kidney transplant outcomes have improved dramatically during the last few decades due to better surgical techniques, overall improved medical care, early diagnosis and treatment of infections, and last but not least, the advancements in transplant immunology.

In spite of the above advances, renal allograft dysfunction is still common after transplantation. The major causes of graft dysfunction are acute or chronic rejection, calcineurin inhibitor (CNI) toxicity, infections and recurrence of/de novo primary kidney disease. The above causes of renal allograft malfunction require different therapeutic approaches; thus, an accurate diagnosis is a pre-requisite for correct management, which can only be ascertained at present through renal allograft biopsy. However, scarce data is available in published literature solely on the causes of graft malfunction in a living-related kidney transplant programme. Renal transplant biopsy (RTB) is well established as the gold standard procedure to determine the etiology of allograft dysfunction. According to a study...
published previously, biopsy findings change the clinical diagnosis in an average of 36% of patients and therapy in 59%.

Renal transplant pathology is a complex and rapidly evolving field and an analysis of the pathological lesions sheds light on the prevailing practices and emerging trends in clinical transplantation field. 

This study was aimed to determine the pattern of histopathological lesions causing renal graft dysfunction as detected on kidney graft biopsies of living-related kidney transplant recipients (KTRs) from a transplant centre in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KPK), Pakistan and to relate these results to those reported previously in literature.

**METHODOLOGY**

A retrospective cross-sectional study of renal allograft biopsies of renal allograft recipients was conducted over a period of 10 years from August 2008 to July 2018 at the Institute of Kidney Diseases, Peshawar, Pakistan. Data items including demographics of recipients and renal allograft biopsy findings were collected from reviews of renal allograft biopsy reports. The biopsies were done when there was graft dysfunction without obvious cause, i.e., an increase in serum creatinine of ≥30% from the baseline. The second main indication was proteinuria. A very conservative biopsy policy was employed to arrive at the correct diagnosis by non-invasive techniques. Two needle cores of kidney graft tissue were obtained with automated biopsy gun under real-time ultrasound guidance. In cases in which there was clinical suspicion for antibody-mediated rejection (ABMR), a third core was attempted for immunofluorescence, C4d, and ultrastructural study. The benefits and risks of the biopsy procedure were fully explained to the patients and their families, and they signed a written consent form. The biopsies were then sent to a specialised renal pathology laboratory, in formalin-containing sterile container for further processing and reporting. The biopsy lesions were reported and classified according to Banff 2017 updated classification of kidney graft pathology.

All patients received standard induction therapy with Interleukin-2 (IL-2) receptor blocker Basiliximab (Simulect), two doses of 20 mg intravenously, each on day 0 (2 hours pre-transplant) and on 4th day post-transplant. Patients received standard maintenance immunosuppressive therapy in standard dosage, i.e., one of the CNIs (Cyclosporine [CSA] or tacrolimus [TAC]), one of the anti-proliferative agents (Azathioprine [AZA]/Mycophenolate mofetil [MMF], and/or one of mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitors (everolimus or sirolimus) and steroids.

The research was conducted according to the ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Statistical analysis was carried out using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software, version 21.0 (IBM Corp, New York, NY, USA). Descriptive statistics of mean ± standard deviation (SD) were used for normally distributed continuous variables, such as age and clinical and laboratory data. For categorical data, such as biopsy diagnoses, numbers (percentages) were used.

**RESULTS**

A total of 55 renal allograft biopsies were obtained over the period of 10 years from August 2008 to July 2018. Out of these biopsies, 51 (92.73%) were from males, while 4 (7.27%) were from females. Their mean age was 34.35 ± 9.40 years, ranging from 19 to 60 years. Majority of patients (n = 24, 43.63%) belonged to the age group of 31-42 years. The mean age of donors was 38.45 ± 7.86 years, ranging from 20 to 65 years. Regarding donor relationships with the recipients, the majority of donors were siblings (27: 49.09%) followed by parents (17: 30.91%) and others (11: 20%). The biopsies were done at a mean post-transplant duration of 18.37 ± 9.51 months, ranging from 4 days to 4.5 years. The mean serum creatinine at the time of biopsy was 3.34 ± 1.64 mg/dl, ranging from 1.6 to 8.3 mg/dl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Banff categories</th>
<th>Number (n)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category 1 (Normal)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 2 (ABMR)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 3 (Borderline changes)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 4 (TCMR)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 5 (IF/TA)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>34.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild IFTA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate IFTA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>44.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe IFTA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 6 (Others)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>36.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcineurin inhibitors (CNI) toxicity</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>89.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent/de novo GN</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ABMR:** Antibody-mediated rejection; **IF/TA:** Interstitial fibrosis/tubular atrophy; **TCMR:** T-cell-mediated rejection.

Of the remaining three cases, two cases underwent graft nephrectomies, one (1.82%) case had recurrent oxalosis, while one (1.82%) was diagnosed with nephroblastoma. One (1.82%) biopsy showed only fungal hyphae in completely infarcted parenchyma; and no viable graft tissue was identified and graft had to be removed. The majority of allograft biopsies (84%) were adequate, according to Banff criteria. The biopsy findings in 52 percutaneous renal allograft biopsies with clear-cut histological results were categorised according to updated Banff schema and are shown in Table I. It was observed that 10 (19.23%) cases belonged to Banff Category 1 (normal). Category 2 (borderline rejection) and three (acute/active cellular rejection) were seen in three (5.7%) and one (1.9%) cases, respectively. Banff Category 5 of interstitial fibrosis/tubular atrophy (IF/TA) with varying degrees of severity (mild, moderate or severe) was observed in 18 (34.62%) cases. Banff Category 6 (others) was seen in 19 (36.5%) cases, mostly seen in conjunction with other categories, in which calcineurin inhibitors (CNI) toxicity was commonest (17 [89.4%] of 19 cases). Mixed lesions were seen in 19 (36.5%) cases. Out of 19 mixed category cases, 12 (63.16%) showed both Category 3 and Category 5 changes with most of the cases showing mild to moderate IF/TA, while one case had severe IF/TA.
**DISCUSSION**

A number of efforts have been made to standardise the histological criteria for the diagnosis and classification of acute rejection to allow comparisons of efficacy of different treatment modalities. Various classification systems that have been introduced include the Banff classification system, which was first published in full form in 1993, and the cooperative clinical trials in transplantation (CCTT) modification of it. These classification systems concerned upon inflammation involving arteries and tubules but differed on the minimum threshold of inflammation and typing/grading of rejection. The Banff 93-95 and the CCTT systems were both merged into Banff 97 working classification. According to 1997 Banff classification, increased histologic severity has strong correlation with poor response to treatment and shortened allograft survival. The Banff 97 system has been revised several times.

This study provides significant contribution to the existing scant data, especially in the setting of the living-related kidney transplant programme from Pakistan. The demographic characteristics of the recipients are almost in line to that reported in a previous study from Pakistan. Male preponderance is in concordance with the prior studies conducted in Pakistan, and other South-Asian countries like India and Nepal. The mean age of recipients observed in this study was 34.34 ± 9.39 years with most of the patients, 43.63%, falling in age group of 31-42 years. This age distribution is also consistent with previously conducted study from Nepal.

In this study, the authors witnessed that 16.36% of the biopsies belonged to Banff Category 1 (normal) i.e., the biopsies showed no evidence of any abnormality, which is comparable to that found by Koshy et al, while in contrast to studies from Nepal and Pakistan. Another study done in Macedonia observed 31% of cases belonging to this category. Moreover, these findings are in agreement with the study done in Belgium by Naens et al. The varying results may indicate the varying biopsy policies and less strict indication criteria employed in some studies. Ideally, this category should be the least common finding in the biopsies, if thorough work-up is done prior to performing the biopsy. There can be many causes for this finding in the biopsy in the setting of indicated biopsies, such as sampling error, missed pre- or post-graft causes of dysfunction, etc.

Acute antibody-mediated rejection (ABMR) can occur in recipients who develop rising titers of anti-donor antibodies immediately after transplant surgery or are pre-sensitised and transplanted without prior desensitisation. Acute ABMR usually develops 1-3 weeks after transplantation, commonly in sensitised patients, but can develop at any time post-transplant. Chronic ABMR, in contrast to acute ABMR, usually presents years after transplantation.

It evolves through numerous stages over many months to years. It may be difficult to make a distinction between acute ABMR and severe acute T-cell mediated rejection (TCMR), and sometimes the two disease processes may also overlap. Moreover, in up to 25% of cases of graft dysfunction attributed, at least in part, to ABMR, the biopsy features are indicative of only TCMR or acute tubular injury. It is vital to diagnose and report ABMR, if possible, given that it is often unresponsive to therapy targeting acute TCMR and, unless successfully treated, often leads to renal graft loss. In this study, one case each of isolated acute ABMR (Category 2), one of acute TCMR (Category 4), and one of chronic active ABMR, were observed. This observation is comparable to some previous studies, especially those involving live-related transplants, but much lower from a large cohort from Europe. It is important to recognise and report chronic ABMR, as it is amenable to treatment.

Borderline changes (Banff Category 3) were found, as sole lesions, in 3 (5.77%) cases, which is similar to study from Macedonia, India, and Nepal and in contrast to the study from Europe. However, a significant number of these lesions were found in association with chronic changes (Banff Category 5 of IFTA), and if the numbers are corrected for the borderline changes and IFTA, the percentage gets even slightly higher than the previously published European study.

Banff Category 4 of TCMR lesions were found, as sole lesions, in one case and combined with ABMR in another one case. In addition, five TCMR cases were found in association with chronic changes in this study. Thus, total number of TCMR cases were seven (13.46%) in our series, which is compatible with recent series from around the world.

IFTA, no evidence of any specific etiology (NOS) (Banff Category 5) was found in 18 (34.62%) of total cases and is one of the commonest causes of graft dysfunction in this study, which was, in majority of cases, without any specific etiology as published previously. But in studies from Macedonia and Nepal, a lower prevalence of IFTA was observed. This may be due, in part, to the fact that the graft biopsies were performed late on the patients of this study and that IFTA takes longer than other causes of renal allograft dysfunction to develop; and it has been documented in previously published studies that IFTA is more prevalent after first post-transplant year.

While analysing the results of this study, it is of note that almost one-third of total cases showed more than one pattern of histopathological lesion or category of rejection and were included in mixed category. This has also been reflected by previously published studies. However, studies from Nepal and Pakistan showed lower prevalence of mixed lesions. This finding also points to the complexity of renal transplant pathology and in part may be affected by varying biopsy policies.

The “other” (Banff Category 6) causes of graft dysfunction were seen in conjunction with the other various Banff categories in nearly one-fifth of cases and it can be conferred that calcineurin inhibitors (CNI) toxicity was one of the leading causes of graft dysfunction in the renal transplant recipients. The toxicity of the agents may be caused by high, within range or even low concen-
trations of the culprit in the blood.23 We observed that almost 90% of all cases, that were included in “others” category, showed CNI toxicity on renal graft biopsy, usually as an arterial hyalinosis grade 2 or 3, which is in keeping with studies done in past from Pakistan6 and our neighboring countries like India23 and Nepal.24

There are some limitations in the study. It is a retrospective study. Although it spans a period of 10 years but number of biopsies is not high. This small number can be attributed to low number of patients opting for renal transplantation in our region and the conservative biopsy policy. The authors also did not take into account the clinical picture of patients and correlate them to their biopsy findings in the current study.

CONCLUSION

The study showed that the mixed lesions were the predominant findings, followed by others (mostly CNI toxicity) and IFTA categories. The frequency of acute/active rejections was low and that of chronic changes higher, in keeping with delayed biopsies.

ETHICAL APPROVAL:

Ethical approval was granted by Hospital Ethical Review Committee (Ref. No. 600 dated 02-07-2018) prior to initiation of the research work.

PATIENTS’ CONSENT:

Written informed consent was taken from all patients before obtaining biopsies and for publishing the data.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST:

Authors declared no conflict of interest.

AUTHORS’ CONTRIBUTION:

SM, TA, RA: Conception, study designing and statistical analysis, and supervision.

TA, RA, ND: Data collection and manuscript writing.

SM, TA, RA: Critical review and final approval of manuscript.

REFERENCES


18. Soley K, Axelsen RA, Benediktsson H, Burdick JF, Cohen AH,


