ABSTRACT
Objective: To determine the outcome of Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy (SBRT) and its prognostic factors among hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) patients.
Study Design: Descriptive study.
Place and Duration of Study: Department of Radiology and Cyberknife Robotic Radiosurgery, Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Centre (JPMC), Karachi, Pakistan from 1st July 2019 to 31st August 2020.
Methodology: All patients of either gender age 18 years and above presenting with pathological confirmation of HCC, ECOG performance status of \( \leq 2 \) and child Pugh A or B were consecutively enrolled. Progression of \( >20\% \) was defined as progressive disease (PD). SBRT was performed using the Cyberknife. Clinical outcome was measured in terms of progression free survival. Moreover, radiation induced toxicity was also observed along with other predictor variables.
Results: Of 52 patients, the median age was 58 (53-59) years. There were 42 (80.8%) males and 10 (19.2%) females. All patients were alive at 3 months, i.e. 52 (100%). However, at 6 months, 49 (94.2%) were alive, at 9 months, 38 (73.1%) patients were alive while at 1 year, 24 (46.2%) patients were alive. A significant association was observed for survival at 6 months and ECOG performance status score (\( p=0.036 \)), survival at 9 months and AFP (\( p=0.003 \)), survival at 1 year and age (\( p=0.019 \)), survival at 1 year and HBV (\( p=0.001 \)), and survival at 1 year and previous treatment (\( p=0.010 \)). Moreover, none of the patients reported complications / radiation induced liver toxicity.
Conclusion: A higher efficiency of SBRT was found among HCC patients attending Radiology Department.
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INTRODUCTION
Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the third leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^2\) Moreover, it is one of the most common solid tumors highly prevalent in all over the world.\(^2\) It is reported that number of cases of HCC are continuously increasing in Pakistan.\(^3\) It is now, one of the commonest cancers in adult males in the country. Estimates show that 2.8 per 100,000 females and 7.6 per 100,000 males are affected by HCC in Pakistan.\(^4\) The accurate and timely diagnosis of HCC has thus become an utmost requirement to halt the uprising trend of HCC.
Various treatment options are available for HCC, such as surgery, percutaneous radio-frequency ablation and chemoembolisation.\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^6\)

In addition to all these management strategies, radiation therapy is also now being conducted for the treatment of HCC. Stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT) is the treatment modality, which is now currently being practised worldwide. It is reported that SBRT is a recommended treatment option for HCC patients, who are ineligible for previously recommended treatment modalities.\(^7\)\(^,\)\(^8\) Various international studies have reported good clinical outcome of SBRT.\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^9\) However, an extensive literature search has revealed scarcity of data from Pakistan on the outcome of SBRT in patients with HCC.
Therefore, this study was planned with the aim to determine the outcome of SBRT and its prognostic factors among HCC patients attending Radiology Department of a large public sector hospital in Karachi, Pakistan.

METHODOLOGY
This descriptive cohort study was prospectively conducted at Department of Radiology and Cyberknife Robotic Radiosurgery, Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Centre, Karachi from July 2019 to August 2020.
All patients of either gender, age 18 years and above presenting with pathological confirmation of HCC, ECOG performance status of \( \leq 2 \) and child Pugh A or B, were consecutively enrolled. While all patients with extrahepatic extensions, previous radio-
therapy for liver tumors, child-pugh C, intractable ascites and normal liver volume of less than 700 cc were excluded. Imaging criteria for HCC included arterial phase hyper-enhancing lesion, with showing washout on porto-venous and delayed phase graded and stage III-B (T4,N0,M0) without direct invasion of adjacent organs or perforation. Epi Info sample size calculator was used for the estimation of sample size. Taking confidence interval 95%, reported complete response in a previous study 87.5%10, margin of error 9%, the sample size came out to be 52.

Clinical outcome was measured in terms of progression-free survival. Progression of >20% was defined as progressive disease (PD). Progression-free survival was defined as the number of patients remain alive during the one-year follow-up. Risk factors like chronic hepatitis B and C, hepatitis B carrier state, prior transcatheter arterial embolization, concurrent chemotherapy, portal vein thrombosis, high tumor staging and male gender, were observed.

Relevant investigations were done at the time of patient’s registration, including liver triphasic computed tomography (CT), complete blood picture, liver function tests, PCR virus testing for hepatitis B and C, serum alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) and CT chest with contrast for any pulmonary metastasis. Patients with positive surface antigen for hepatitis B (HBsAg) or elevated hepatitis B virus DNA were referred to gastroenterologist for treatment before SBRT. SBRT was performed using the Cyberknife, an image-guided robotic radiosurgery system synchronous with the respiratory tracking for target lesions that move with respiration. Approximately 5-7 days before the planning CT-scan, under ultrasound guidance gold fiducial markers were implanted percutaneously around the target lesion. A CT scan with intravenous contrast having around 1-mm thin slice thickness, was performed covering the whole liver and bilateral kidneys. No abdominal compression or respiratory control was used at the time of scan. Contouring was done on cyberknife system’s treatment planning workstation on the CT scan images transferred to it. (Figure 1). Beam directions and beam weights were automatically decided by the system, optimally in order to maximize the delivered dose to the target lesion and minimize radiation dose to the adjacent organs at risk.

Dosing was determined depending on size and location of tumor, normal liver volume and adjacent organs at radiation risk. Doses delivered to tumors ranged from 26 to 50 Gy in 3-5 fractions. The gross tumor volume (GTV) included tumor seen on CT scans with contrast. Marginal 5mm was added as CTV (clinical target tumor) to cover microscopic disease. The SBRT radiation to the target lesion was delivered along with the real-time tracking system under fiducial markers guidance, synchronizing with the tracking system. The limited radiation dose for normal liver parenchyma (total liver minus cumulative GTV) was specified so that a liver volume of minimum 700 ml could receive a total radiation dose less than 15 Gy. The dose given to critical organs such as stomach, duodenum, colon, right kidney and spinal cord was in recommended ranges as specified by AAPM-TG 101. Follow-up was done at 3rd month, 6th month, 9th month, and 1 year post SBRT therapy.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 24. Median and interquartile range was reported for quantitative variables like age of the patients and laboratory parameters. Frequency and percentages were calculated for qualitative variables like gender, HBV, HCV status, previous treatment history, child pugh score, and survival. Inferential statistics were explored using Friedman test, Fisher’s Exact test/Chi-square test. P-value ≤0.05 was considered as significant.

RESULTS

A total of 52 patients were included. The median age of the patients was 58 (53-59) years. There were 42 (80.8%) males and 10 (19.2%) females. Positive PCR for hepatitis B virus was found in 10 (19.2%), while hepatitis C virus in 28 (53.8%) patients; whereas, other had no obvious etiology. Solitary tumor was observed in 44 (84.6%) patients, while 8 (15.4%) patients had ≥2 lesions in each group. Higher number of patients were found to have tumor in right lobe, i.e. 41 (78.8%), followed by left side 7 (13.5%) and both lobes were involved in 4 (7.7%) patients. Thirteen (25%) with unsuccessful previous treatment (TACE/RFA) were referred for cyberknife.

All patients were alive at 3 months, i.e., 52 (100%). However, at 6 months, 49 (94.2%) were alive, at 9 months, 38 (73.1%) patients were alive, while at one year, 24 (46.2%) patients were alive. The progression-free survival was observed in all of the alive patients. The cause of death was due to other factors, which includes development of new hepatic lesions, development of peritoneal ascites and extrahepatic metastasis.

Out of 52 patients at baseline and 3 months of follow-up, ECOG performance status grade 0 was found in 4 (7.7%) and 2 (3.8%) patients, respectively. Of 49 patients at 6 months, ECOG performance status grade 0 was found in 3 (6.1%), while of 24 patients at one year, ECOG performance status grade 0 was found in 2 (8.3%) patients.

At baseline, child pugh score A was found in 51 (98.1%) patients. Of these 51 patients, 42 (82.4%) remains same at 3rd month. The follow-up of these patients showed that at 6 and 9 months, child pugh score remained the same, i.e. 29/48 (60.4%) and 23/38(60.5%), respectively. (Figure 2) Finally, at one year, 19 (79.2%) still had child pugh score A. (Figure 3) One patient whose child score was B at the time of enrollment, survived till 9 months with the same status. However, the patient expired at 1-year follow-up due to development of new lesion.

A significant association was observed between survival at 6 months and ECOG performance status score (p = 0.036), survival at 9 months and AFP (p = 0.003), survival at one year and age (p = 0.019), survival at one year and HBV (p = 0.001), and survival at one year and previous treatment (p = 0.010, Table I).
An insignificant median difference was observed for platelet counts at different time intervals (p = 0.339). The median platelet count at baseline was 151.5 (125-179.5), at 3 months was 150.0 (114-181.5), at 6 months was 147 (100-156.5), at 9 months was 147.0 (119-187.5, Table II). Furthermore, ALT (p = 0.217) and ALP levels (p = 0.208) were also found to be insignificant at different time intervals.

**Table II: Median platelet count at different time interval.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platelets Count</th>
<th>Overall (n=52)</th>
<th>With previous treatment (n=13)</th>
<th>No previous treatment (n=39)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>At 3 months</td>
<td>At 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall (n=52)</td>
<td>151.5 (125.0-179.5)</td>
<td>150 (114.0-181.5)</td>
<td>147 (100-156.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>168 (129.5-196)</td>
<td>150 (130-188)</td>
<td>147 (134.5-150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 3 months</td>
<td>150 (116.5-182.3)</td>
<td>150 (116-178)</td>
<td>141.5 (100-185.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 6 months</td>
<td>147 (110-157)</td>
<td>146 (100-159.5)</td>
<td>145 (109-160)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 9 months</td>
<td>147.5 (119-187.5)</td>
<td>145 (117.5-182.3)</td>
<td>150 (116-178)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Friedman test applied, p-value ≤0.05 considered significant.

An insignificant median difference was observed for platelet counts at different time intervals (p = 0.339).
Currently, SBRT is one of the most recommended therapies worldwide. Similarly, in Pakistan, this therapy is also used for the management of HCC. SBRT is newly emerging treatment option for management of various carcinomas including hepatocellular carcinoma. The main advantage of SBRT is to maximize the radiation dose to the target lesion to control the local spread of disease, minimizing the dose to adjacent organs at risk. Scarcity of the data from Pakistan on SBRT has urged us to report local outcome of SBRT. The findings of the current study have reported favorable outcome of SBRT with no significant complications. Though, an extensive literature has revealed dearth of studies from Pakistan that has reported cyberknife surgery, except for a similar kind of study presented by Kadri et al. in European Congress of Radiology (ECR) in 2017 from Karachi, in which outcome of eight patients of HCC was reported, using cyberknife SBRT treatment. The findings of that study revealed that among eight patients, tumor size and serum AFP were reduced in four lesions, tumor size remained stable in three lesions and disease progression noted in one lesion. Moreover, complication was observed in none of the patients in the study by Kadri et al. The author concluded that SBRT was rising as a new, promising, non-invasive therapy with minimal or no side effects for patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. Small tumors showing good results, though few larger tumors have been successfully treated as well.

In the current study, all 52 patients were alive at the third month. However, at sixth month, almost 94% percent patients were alive; at ninth month, 73% patients were alive, while at one year, survival status reached 46%. The progression-free survival was observed in all of the alive patients. The cause of death was due to other factors, which includes development of new hepatic lesions, and peritoneal ascites or extrahepatic metastasis. Kwon et al. in their study enrolled HCC patients not eligible for standard treatment and have assessed long term effects of SBRT for primarily small HCC. The outcome of their study has revealed overall one- and three-year survival rates of approximately 93% and 59%, respectively. The local control rates at one- and three-year were 72% and 68%, respectively. The author finally stated that smaller tumors had better local control and overall survival rates. Sanuki et al. also evaluated small HCC patients treated with SBRT. The three years local control and overall survival rates in the 35 Gy and 40 Gy groups were 91% and 89%, respectively. The author stated that similar outcome was observed in either dose in five fractions. Whereas, safety was also observed in all cases.

Central liver toxicity after SBRT treatment and radiation-induced liver toxicity were reported in various previous studies. Likewise, central liver toxicity was also observed in the current study and no toxicity was observed. The limitations of this study are that certain important variables like metabolic liver function are not reported in the current study. Moreover, the follow-up time was only one year in the current study. Despite these limitations, there are several strengths of this study. Firstly, this study is the first kind of study that has reported relatively ample number of HCC cases, who received SBRT therapy. Moreover, none of the patients has reported radiation-induced complications/radiation-induced liver toxicity in the current study, which states SBRT as highly recommended therapy for patients with HCC, especially for those with failed prior treatment options. Finally, the current study has reported local data of SBRT of HCC patients from public sector hospital of Pakistan that offers free-of-cost robotic surgery.

CONCLUSION

There is high efficiency of SBRT among HCC patients without any major toxicity.
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Figure 2: A 60 years patient showing arterially enhancing lesion in segment VI of liver (A), which shows central necrotic area with peripheral edema on 3 months follow up (B) representing SBRT changes. On 1 year follow up, complete regression of lesion is seen, representing therapy response (C). Note the hyperdense fiducial in image B.

Figure 3: A 56 years patient showing arterially enhancing lesion in Segment VIII of liver (A), which appears to be less enhancing on 6 months follow up (B), representing response to therapy.
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