Investigation of QT Prolongation with Hydroxychloroquine and Azithromycin for the Treatment of COVID-19
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To assess and identify the risk of prolonged QT about hydroxychloroquine (HQ) and azithromycin (AZ) used in the treatment of patients with COVID-19.

Study Design: Cohort study.

Place and Duration of Study: Kartal Dr. Lütfi Kirdar City Hospital, İstanbul, Turkey, from March to May 2020.

Methodology: One hundred and forty-four patients with the diagnosis of COVID-19, confirmed by Rt-PCR (reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction), were restrospectively reviewed. Patients who were hospitalised, received HQ or HQ plus AZ treatment, had a baseline electrocardiogram (ECG), and had at least one ECG after treatment were included in the study. Patients with missing data were excluded.

Results: Fifty-one (35.4%) patients were given hydroxychloroquine monotherapy (HQ), 93 (64.6%) were given hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin (HA), and 70 (48.6%) were women. Pre-treatment mean QTc measurements were calculated as 410.61 ± 29.44 milliseconds (ms) for HQ group and 412.02 ± 25.37 ms for HA group, while the mean values of post-treatment QTc measurements were calculated as 432.31 ± 33.97 ms for HQ group and 432.03 ± 27.0 ms for the HA group. Post-treatment QTc measurements of both HA group and HQ group were prolonged compared to pre-treatment measurements. Ventricular arrhythmia was not observed in any patient.

Conclusion: For COVID-19, no globally accepted definite treatment has yet been found. Both of hydroxychloroquine monotherapy and hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin treatment regimens cause QTc measurement to increase at a statistically significant level. We concluded that this increase in QTc did not cause ventricular arrhythmia.
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INTRODUCTION

In December 2019, an unexplained pneumonia outbreak occurred in Wuhan, and it was reported that the cause of this outbreak is a new coronavirus infection called COVID-19 (Corona Virus Disease 2019)¹. As on 21 October, the virus caused more than 40 million cases and more than one million deaths across the world; and according to the data of the Ministry of Health, more than 350,000 cases and more than 9,000 deaths were seen in Turkey.²

While new drug-vaccination studies are underway to treat the disease, available drugs were also tried for treatment.
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Hydroxychloroquine has a strong antiviral activity in vitro, and it was reported that it inhibits SARS-CoV-2 and gives better results when used with azithromycin.³ Five Subsequent studies suggest that this combination had no clinical benefit.⁴ Further more, both azithromycin and hydroxychloroquine are associated with prolonged QTc, and combined use is likely to strengthen this negative effect.⁵ Hydroxychloroquine prolongs the QT by blocking the activation of the potassium channel IKr (hERG / Kv11.1).⁶ Azithromycin prolongs the QT interval due to the prolongation of the action potential.⁷

The aim of this study was to assess and identify the risk of prolonged QTc about the use of accompanying hydroxychloroquine or the use of hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin.

METHODOLOGY

The study was included patients who had received in-patient treatment at Kartal Dr. Lütfi Kirdar City Hospital, İstanbul, Turkey, between March 11 and May 11, 2020, with COVID-19 diagnosis confirmed via Rt-PCR test, and who were administered hydroxychloroquine or hydroxychloroquine plus
azithromycin with baseline 12 lead electrocardiogram (ECG) and at least one ECG after drug administration. Data of these patients was accessed by searching the electronic patient registration system of the hospital. Patients who were hospitalised, received HQ or HQ plus AZ treatment, had a baseline electrocardiogram (ECG), and had at least 1 ECG after treatment were included in the study. Patients with missing data were excluded.

To standardise QT length according to the heart rates of the patients, ECG records were examined by a cardiologist and Bazett formula ($QTc = QT / \sqrt{RR}$) was used for those with heart rate within the range of 60-100/min, and Framingham formula ($QTc = QT + 0.154 (1- RR)$) was used for those with heart rate outside the range of 60-100/min. Prolonged QTc was defined as an increase of more than 60 milliseconds ($\Delta QTc > 60$ milliseconds) in QTc intervals compared to the beginning or a QTc of 500 milliseconds or above. Patients were divided into two groups, namely the patients diagnosed with COVID-19 who used hydroxychloroquine only and patients diagnosed with COVID-19 who used hydroxychloroquine together with azithromycin, and statistical analysis was performed. Standard hydroxychloroquine regimen was 400 mg twice in the day one, then 400 mg per day in the days two to five. Azithromycin was administered 250 mg per day for five days.

This study was approved by the Corporate Ethical Committee with a waiver of informed consent due to the retrospective nature of the work.

Analysis of data was performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics 26. The value of $p<0.05$ was considered statistically significant. Categorical data were expressed as frequency and percentage while the parameters having continuous variation as mean ± S.D.

After the data was collected, two groups were subjected to power analysis via G-power software version 3.1.9.7 using the independent sample t-test and post-hoc options. The power value was calculated as 0.89 taking the effect size (d) as 0.5 and $\alpha$ (error) as 0.05. Independent sample t-test and a paired sample t-test were performed to compare the effect of treatments on QTc interval.

To use independent sample t-test and paired sample t-test methods, which are parametric tests, the assumptions of the normality of distributions and the homogeneity of variances were examined.

Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test was performed to determine the normality of the distributions. It was seen that the normality assumption was achieved. Levene’s test was performed to determine whether the variants, another assumption of independent sample t-test, were homogeneous. Levene’s test showed for homogeneity of variances of pretreatment ($p=0.127$) and post-treatment ($p=0.011$) QTc measurements of the patients included in the study. The hypothesis established for the homogeneity of the distributions of pretreatment QTc measurements was accepted. The homogeneity of post-treatment QTc measurements was refused. Therefore, $p$-values used in the case of uneven homogeneity during the analysis for post-treatment measurements were reported.

**RESULTS**

This study included 144 patients, with 51 (35.4%) patients in the hydroxychloroquine (HQ) group and 93 (64.6%) in the hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin (HA) group. Of these patients, 13 (9%) were treated in the intensive care unit. Seventy (48.6%) patients were females and 74 (51.4%) were males. The age ranged from 13 to 101 years. The average age of all patients included in the study was 55.81 ± 19.32 years.

Pre-treatment QTc measurements were calculated as $410.61 \pm 29.44$ milliseconds (ms) for the HQ group and $412.02 \pm 25.37$ ms for the HA group, while the mean values of post-treatment QTc measurements were calculated as $432.31 \pm 33.97$ ms for the HQ group and $432.03 \pm 27.0$ ms for the HA group. Two patients were deducted with QTc duration over 500 ms (1 in HQ, 1 in HA) for post-treatment QTc measurement. The post-treatment QTc value of three patients increased more than 60 ms compared to the pre-treatment QTc value (1 in HQ, 2 in HA). The patients whose QTc duration over 500 ms and the patients with QTc prolongation over 60 ms were not same patients.

To determine the source of the difference, independent sample t-test was performed to compare the pre-treatment and post-treatment QTc measurements according to the HQ and HA groups, and paired sample t-test was performed to compare the pre-treatment and post-treatment QTc measurements of the groups. The findings were given in Table I. According to the findings, there is no statistically significant difference between pre-treatment QTc measurements of the patients in HQ and HA groups ($p=0.763$, Table I). Similarly, no statistically significant difference was found between post-treatment QTc measurements of the patients included in the HQ and HA groups ($p=0.959$, Table I). This finding shows that the increase in post-treatment QTc measurements compared to pre-treatment QTc measurements is not statistically significantly different among the groups (Table I).

As shown in Table 1, there is a statistically significant difference between pre-treatment and post-treatment QTc measurements of the participants in the HQ group ($p<0.001$, Table I). This difference is in favor of post-treatment measurements. Similarly, the pretreatment and post-treatment QTc measurements of the participants in the HA group varied statistically significantly ($p<0.001$, Table I). This difference is in favor of post-treatment measurements.

**DISCUSSION**

Since there is no specific and standard treatment, the treatment of COVID-19 patients poses a serious problem worldwide. The prolonged QTc and causing ventricular arrhythmias such as *Torsades de Pointes*, as reported in the literature for hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin which are currently in use, cause concern among physicians in terms of patient management.11,12

---

In this study, when the two groups are compared, there is no significant difference between the pre-treatment QTc measurements. We can say that both groups are similar in terms of pre-treatment QTc measurements.

When the groups are compared in terms of pre-test and post-test, there is a significant difference between pre-treatment and post-treatment QTc measurements in both HQ and HA groups. For both groups, this difference appears to be prolonged in post-treatment QTc measurements. Post-treatment QTc measurements of both HA group and HQ group were prolonged compared to pre-treatment measurements. In this cohort, the QTc times of patients who received hydroxychloroquine (HQ) and hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin (HA) were prolonged compared to the baseline values, but there were no ventricular arrhythmias that could lead to the end of treatment in patients.

Hydroxychloroquine was first approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration in 1955 and generally found to be safe and well-tolerated in patients treated for chronic inflammatory conditions. It is known that this drug, which is one of the preferred treatment regimens for COVID-19, can block Kv11.1 (HERG) and prolong QT interval. Clinical arrhythmic toxicity is usually associated with chronic use, use of accompanying QT-prolonging agents (e.g., azithromycin), metabolic irregularities (e.g., hypokalemia), kidney failure, or acute overdose.

The guidelines of the American Heart Association (AHA) suggest that the likelihood of clinical arrhythmic toxicity is very low since the duration of HQ administration during the COVID-19 treatment process is relatively short (5-10 days). Nevertheless, it is said that it should be used carefully in patients with known congenital prolonged QT syndrome and patients additionally taking QT-prolonging drugs, and the dose should be reduced in patients with severe renal failure, and the patients with electrolyte imbalance can take this drug subject to regular monitoring only after the electrolyte irregularity is treated.

During the COVID-19 pandemic, the effects of HQ and HA treatments on QTc attracted attention and several studies were carried out in this matter. In their retrospective research, Bessière et al. reported 40 patients who received hydroxychloroquine alone in the intensive care unit (400 mg/day for 10 days; 45%) or hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin (250 mg/day for 5 days; 55%). In this cohort, the baseline QTc was not prolonged (median, 414 milliseconds). It was reported that only 5% of the patients who took hydroxychloroquine and 33% of the patients who took both drugs had QTc ≥500 milliseconds and no patient developed arrhythmia.

In their retrospective-observational study, Mercuro et al. examined hydroxychloroquine and QT relationship in 90 hospitalised COVID-19 patients. 53 of the 90 patients who received hydroxychloroquine were simultaneously administered azithromycin, and QTc was measured before and after hydroxychloroquine administration. Baseline median QTc was found longer than usual (only hydroxychloroquine group: 472 milliseconds; hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin group: 442 milliseconds). Seven patients who took only hydroxychloroquine (19%) had QTc ≥500 milliseconds, and 21% of patients who received combination therapy had QTc ≥500 milliseconds. Torsades de pointes was seen in a patient with multiple cardiac and respiratory complications.

In their study, Mazzanti et al. examined the QT relationship of 150 patients who received hydroxychloroquine monotherapy and hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin and/or lopinavir/ritonavir. Only 2% of the patients had severe QTc prolongation (≥500 milliseconds) and none of the patients had a life-threatening arrhythmia. In the present, only two patients had severe QT prolongation (≥500 milliseconds), one of which was a patient who received hydroxychloroquine monotherapy, while the other was a patient who received hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin.

In a retrospective two-centered study, Chorin et al. reported that 23% of the 251 patients who received hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin combination had QTc ≥500 milliseconds and one patient developed documented ventricular arrhythmia.

In a prospective study, Kuate et al. did not find any significant change in QT time during follow-up of 51 ambulant patients treated with hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin and no patients had symptomatic arrhythmia during treatment.

In the present study, no patients developed ventricular arrhythmia. It is not clear that the cause of ventricular arrhythmia in the two patients reported in the literature is due to the incriminated agent use only.

In retrospective studies, it is not possible to perform applications within a specific plan. In this retrospective study, which
was conducted considering the applications as registered, the data should be evaluated optimally by the nature of retrospective work, although it was tried to collect the data with minimal error. All patients could not be examined during the study due to the missing patient data in the patients' digital files. Since, for some patients, ECG was recorded before the termination of treatment, one cannot rule out that one have missed the maximum effect of the drug on the QTc range. For COVID-19, no globally accepted definite treatment has yet been found. Since hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin which are included in the treatment regimen cause prolonged QTc, these patients need to be monitored carefully. This situation will be further clarified by the wide-scale and prospective studies to be conducted in the future.

CONCLUSION

Both hydroxychloroquine and hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin treatment regimens led to a statistically significant increase in QTc measurements. But these elongations were of similar magnitude. That is, the effects of both treatment regimens on QTc prolongation were similar.
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