

Retinal Thickness and Visual Acuity in Diabetic Macular Edema: An Optical Coherence Tomography-Based Study

Farrah Islam, Muhammad Ashraf, Saemah Zafar, Haleema Masood and Nadeem Qureshi

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the relationship between foveal (retinal) thickness and visual acuity in diabetic macular edema through optical coherence tomography (OCT) mapping software.

Study Design: Cross-sectional descriptive study.

Place and Duration of Study: The Retina Clinic of Al-Shifa Trust Eye Hospital, Rawalpindi, from August 2011 to August 2012.

Methodology: Eighty eyes of 68 patients with clinical diagnosis of diabetic macular edema, based on complete ophthalmic examination, were enrolled. The best-corrected visual acuity was recorded on logMar scale. OCT imaging was performed through dilated pupil by experienced operator. Foveal thickness was determined. OCT parameters of macular thickness were analysed with baseline variables including age, duration since diagnosed with diabetes, and visual acuity.

Results: The mean visual acuity was 0.81 (0.2 - 1.8) logMar units. The average foveal thickness was 395.09 ± 142.26 (183 - 825 μm). There was moderate correlation between foveal thickness and visual acuity ($r_s = 0.574$, $p < 0.001$), absent in those who had visual acuity worse than 1 logMar. There was a weak positive association between foveal thickness and the duration of diabetes ($r_s = 0.249$, $p < 0.05$). There was, however, no correlation between foveal thickness and age ($r_s = 0.012$, $p = 0.919$).

Conclusion: There is a moderate correlation between visual acuity and degree of foveal thickening in diabetic macular edema, hence two cannot be used interchangeably in clinical practice.

Key Words: Visual acuity. Foveal thickening. Diabetic macular edema. Optical coherence tomography.

INTRODUCTION

More than 371 million people are suffering from diabetes, worldwide. However, half of diabetics remain undiagnosed and the toll is on constant rise.¹ In Pakistan, the prevalence of diabetic retinopathy and type II diabetes has been reported to be 27% and 10%, respectively.^{2,3} Amongst its various ocular complications, diabetic retinopathy (DR) is the most important cause of visual loss and blindness.^{4,5} Diabetic retinopathy can deteriorate a patient's life standards rendering him a dependant entity in the society, which is the reason why early detection of DR is advocated.⁶ A common cause of vision loss in patients with diabetic retinopathy is macular edema.⁷

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) has emerged as an objective method of high-resolution cross-sectional imaging of the retina.⁸ It allows retinal thickness quantification in diabetic retinopathy with excellent reproducibility.⁹

Diabetic macular edema has been associated with reduction of visual acuity for years.¹⁰ However, the presence of macular edema does not necessarily

preclude good vision.^{11,12} Nussenblatt *et al.* were able to demonstrate that the degree of macular thickening, rather than the presence of macular edema, was significantly correlated with visual acuity.¹³ As new therapies for retinal diseases are introduced, OCT's value in the quantification of retinal thickening and subretinal exudation is becoming pivotal, but it is expensive and not readily available.

A surrogate outcome is the one that predicts or predates a measure of clinical interest. Here, visual acuity was evaluated as a surrogate measurement of retinal thickness. However, a surrogate outcome can be considered significant only if a strong correlation exists between it and the measure of primary interest. It should also be less expensive, easy to perform and should be able to predict long-term changes.¹⁴

The aim of this study was to evaluate the correlation between the central macular thickness (CMT) using OCT and best-corrected visual acuity in diabetic macular edema.

METHODOLOGY

This descriptive study was performed at Al-Shifa Trust Eye Hospital, Rawalpindi, from August 2011 to August 2012. Eighty eyes of 68 patients, fulfilling the inclusion criteria were enrolled from the Retina Department. Potential eligibility was assessed as part of a routine-care examination, which included history, general physical examination and ophthalmic examination. Best-

Al-Shifa Trust Eye Hospital, Rawalpindi.

Correspondence: Dr. Farrah Islam, Senior Registrar, Al-Shifa Trust Eye Hospital, Rawalpindi.

E-mail: farrahislam@gmail.com

Received: January 15, 2014; Accepted: March 24, 2016.

corrected visual acuity was recorded on Snellen visual acuity chart, which was then converted to logMar scale. Inclusion criteria were diagnosis of diabetes mellitus by a registered medical practitioner, definite retinal thickening due to diabetic macular edema (macular edema or clinically significant macular edema) based on clinical examination and ETDRS criteria,¹⁵ and pupil dilated to 5 mm or larger. Exclusion criteria were history of chronic renal failure requiring dialysis or kidney transplant, congestive heart failure currently under treatment, blood pressure > 180/110 (systolic above 180 or diastolic above 110) and non-diabetic macular edema. Others were corneal, lenticular or media opacities, intraocular inflammation, history of glaucoma, other vitreoretinal pathology, previous intraocular surgery of less than one year duration, clinical suspicion of macular ischemia and previous panretinal photocoagulation or macular photocoagulation.

For subjects who were eligible for the study, the study protocol was discussed with the patient. They were fully explained about the whole procedure, their questions were answered and informed consent was taken. Demographic profile was recorded. The pupils were dilated about 30 minutes prior to the OCT, which was performed by using Carl Zeiss Stratus OCT and by same operator every time. The retinal thickness was recorded at fovea. Each optical coherence tomograph was evaluated to be of adequate quality for submission.

Data was analyzed on SPSS version 15.0 and Matlab version R2010b. Mean \pm S.D was calculated for age, visual acuity and retinal thickness at fovea. Frequency as percentage was presented for gender. Median foveal thickness was calculated for varying visual acuity. The data on visual acuity measured in logMar, age, duration of diabetes and foveal thickness was assessed for assumptions of normal distribution. Normality of data was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test and visual inspection of histogram, normal P-P plot and normal Q-Q plot. To assess the relation between foveal thickness and best corrected visual acuity, Spearman correlation coefficient was used as the data was not normally distributed. A p-value \leq 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Among the 68 cases, there were 36 males (52.9%) and 32 females (47.1%). Their mean age was 56.52 \pm 6.89 years (ranging from 38 to 74 years). The mean duration of diabetes was 12.79 \pm 5.884 years (ranging from 3 to 25 years). Majority of the patients were above 45 years. Only 8.8% (n=7) eyes were from patients between 35 - 45 years, 42.5% (n=34) were between 46 - 55 years, 40% (n=32) between 56 - 65 years, and 8.8% (n=7) were 66-75 years.

The median visual acuity measured on logMar scale was 0.80 (ranging from 0.20 to 1.80) with an interquartile

Table I: Best corrected visual acuity in logMar and mean foveal thickness.

Visual acuity in logMar		Mean foveal thickness (μ m)	Std. Deviation
0.2	Mean	252.67	65.98
(Snellen equivalent 6/9)	N	6	
0.3	Mean	274.43	83.388
(Snellen equivalent 6/12)	N	7	
0.5	Mean	324.33	88.51
(Snellen equivalent 6/18)	N	6	
0.6	Mean	403.17	92.525
(Snellen equivalent 6/24)	N	6	
0.8	Mean	339.90	75.177
(Snellen equivalent 6/36)	N	21	
1	Mean	497.78	140.287
(Snellen equivalent 6/60)	N	23	
1.3	Mean	512.22	156.205
(Snellen equivalent 6/120)	N	9	

Table II: Correlation of foveal thickness with visual acuity, duration of diabetes and age.

Variables	Median	IQR	Correlation with foveal thickness (r_s)	p-value
Foveal thickness (μ m)	373.50	164	-	-
Visual acuity (LogMar)	0.800	0.40	0.574**	0.000
Duration of diabetes (years)	11	10	0.249	0.026
Age (years)	56	9	0.012	0.919

range of 0.40. The median foveal thickness was 373.50 micron, ranging from 183 to 825 μ , and interquartile range of 164 μ . The best-corrected visual acuity (on logMar scale) with corresponding foveal thickness and their inverse relation is given in Table I.

The Shapiro-Wilk test for foveal thickness revealed that the data was not normally distributed $D(80) = 0.926$, $p < 0.001$. The visual acuity data was also not normally distributed as depicted by the Shapiro-Wilk test, $D(80) = 0.933$, $p < 0.001$. Age of respondents was following the assumptions of normality, $D(80) = 0.993$, $p > 0.05$. However the duration of diabetes was also not normally distributed among respondents as depicted by Shapiro-Wilk test, $D(80) = 0.993$, $p=0.001$.

There was moderate positive correlation between foveal thickness and visual acuity in logMar, which was statistically significant ($r_s = 0.574$, $p < 0.001$). There was a positive linear pattern of relation between visual acuity and foveal thickness; however, this was not followed in eyes with poor visual acuity (worse than logMar VA 1). For eyes with visual acuity upto 1 on logMar scale, the Spearman correlation coefficient was 0.645, $p < 0.001$. The data on 11 eyes with visual acuity more than 1 on logMar scale showed no significant correlation between foveal thickness and visual acuity; $r_s = -0.522$, $p > 0.05$.

Foveal thickness was found to be positively correlated with the duration of diabetes. However, this was a weak correlation ($r_s = 0.249$, $p=0.026$). There was no significant correlation between foveal thickness and age ($r_s = 0.012$, $p=0.919$, Table II).

DISCUSSION

Diabetic macular edema is associated with considerable visual morbidity in diabetic patients. Timely and prompt treatment is dependent on early recognition of diabetic macular edema. OCT has emerged as a major breakthrough amongst the modalities for early detection and then further monitoring of diabetic macular edema. It renders high-resolution cross-sectional images of the retina, and offers a structural and quantitative analysis of clinically significant diabetic macular edema.⁹ Since it is an expensive investigation, therefore, it is not readily available in many centers in our country. Therefore, the authors tried to assess the relationship between the foveal thickness and the visual acuity to see their relation. This study has found the correlation between retinal thickness and visual acuity in diabetic macular edema. To the authors' knowledge, this is the first study that has been done on this topic in our region.

The qualitative relation between macular thickness and visual acuity has long been discussed. However, the evidence for quantitative association became possible only after the advent of OCT. In this study, the authors were able to demonstrate a modest relation between them. However, wide ranges of visual acuities were found with same retinal thickness. Sometimes, the patients had very good visual function even with significant retinal thickness and vice versa. Thus, it can be said that the mechanism of visual function is quite complex, and retinal thickness may be regarded as one of the many contributing factors.

Extensive research has been done internationally, in this regard. In the study by Goebel *et al.*, there was an intermediate correlation between retinal thickness and visual acuity, particularly in patients without macular ischemia.⁹ Macular ischemia can thus be considered as a confounding factor in such studies. Therefore, whenever there was a clinical suspicion, such patients were excluded from the present study after confirmation on fluorescein angiogram.

Browning *et al.* again demonstrated the modest relation between visual acuity and retinal thickness. They found that relationship between the two followed a linear pattern in majority of eyes. However, they had excluded the patients over 70 years of age, foveal thickness over 500 microns, and visual acuity worse than 20/200, from their study.¹⁴ In the present study, the authors did not follow the cut off value of 500 microns, as some of patients fulfilling the rest of inclusion criteria had foveal thickness more than 500 microns. The authors, however, attributed the significant drop of visual acuity as compared to other patients to this massive edema and the unknown duration of disease.

According to the study conducted by Alkuraya *et al.*, visual acuity correlated well with central macular thickness in diabetic macular edema ($r = 0.558$, $p < 0.001$).¹⁶

In the study done by Vujosevic, visual acuity and central macular thickness correlated significantly in the NCSME (no clinically significant macular edema) group ($r=-0.6$, $p=0.008$), but not in the NE (no edema) ($r=-0.144$, $p=0.6$) or in the CSME (clinically significant macular edema) ($r=-0.46$, $p=0.11$) groups.¹⁷

In the research done by Alasil *et al.*, the relationship between OCT derived retinal morphology and visual acuities was studied.¹⁸ They found that the quantification and subanalysis of OCT features were of some value in patients with diabetic macular edema (Pearson correlation= 0.3248 , $p=0.005$). However, they also calculated the photoreceptor outer segment thickness (POS) in their study and found it to be more important predictor of function and visual acuity in DME patients.

According to various other studies, a variable correlation exists between macular thickness and visual acuity ranging from $r^2=0.28$ to 0.73 .^{9,19-25} Thus, many other factors that need to be considered might range from unrecognized macular ischemia and macular micro-circulation to duration of macular edema. These factors, especially the latter, is difficult to assess in our setting, where patients do not come to hospitals for routine examination and more often present very late. The results of this study cannot be applied to the patients of renal failure or congestive cardiac failure, as these patients were not included in this research project.

CONCLUSION

There was a modest relationship that existed between retinal thickness and macular edema. However, the two cannot be used interchangeably in clinical practice. Therefore, visual acuity alone is not a reliable surrogate measure for the retinal thickness evaluation; and the two entities can augment yet not replace each other.

REFERENCES

1. International Diabetic Federation Atlas. Fifth edition showing IDF Diabetes atlas update 2012 [Internet]. [cited 2013 Aug 22]. Available from:<http://www.idf.org/diabetesatlas/5e/Update2012/>
2. Mahar PS, Awan MZ, Manzar N, Memon MS. Prevalence of type-II diabetes mellitus and diabetic retinopathy: the Gaddap study. *J Coll Physicians Surg Pak* 2010; **20**:528-32.
3. Qayyum A, Babar AMA, Das G. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in Quetta, Balochistan. *Pak J Ophthalmol* 2010; **26**: 187-92.
4. Hussain S, Qamar MR, Iqbal MA, Ahmed A, Ehsan Ullah. Risk factors of retinopathy in type II diabetes mellitus at a tertiary care hospital, Bahawalpur, Pakistan. *Pak J Med Sci* 2013; **29**: 536-9.
5. Memon WU, Jadoon Z, Qidwai U, Naz S, Dawar S, Hasan T. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in patients of age group 30 years and above attending multicentre diabetic clinics in Karachi. *Pak J Ophthalmol* 2012; **28**:99-104.
6. Shaikh MA, Gillani S, Yakta D. Frequency of diabetic retinopathy in patients after ten years of diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad* 2010; **22**:158-60.

7. Bokhari SA, Kamil Z, Rizvi F. To compare the effect of intravitreal bevacizumab on the resolution of macular edema secondary to diabetic retinopathy and branch retinal vein occlusion. *Pak J Ophthalmol* 2012; **28**:60-5.
8. Kang SW, Park CY, Ham DI. The correlation between Fluorescein angiographic and optical coherence tomographic features in clinically significant diabetic macular edema. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2004; **137**:313-22.
9. Goebel W, Kretzchmar-Gross T. Retinal thickness in diabetic retinopathy: a study using optical coherence tomography (OCT). *Retina* 2002; **22**:759-67.
10. Klein R, Klein BE, Moss SE. Visual impairment in diabetes. *Ophthalmology* 1984; **91**:1-9.
11. Meredith TA, Kenyon KR, Singerman LJ, Fine SL. Perifoveal vascular leakage and macular oedema after intracapsular cataract extraction. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1976; **60**:765-9.
12. Stark WJ, Maumenee AE, Fagadau W. Cystoid macular edema in pseudophakia. *Surv Ophthalmol* 1984; **28**:442-51.
13. Nussenblatt RB, Kaufman SC, Palestine AG. Macular thickening and visual acuity: measurements in patients with cystoid macular edema. *Ophthalmology* 1987; **94**:1134-9.
14. Browning DJ, Glassman AR, Aiello LP, Beck RW, Brown DM, Fong DS, *et al.* Relationship between optical coherence tomography measured central macular thickness and visual acuity in diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology* 2007; **114**:525-32.
15. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Fundus photographic risk factors for progression of diabetic retinopathy: ETDRS report number 12. *Ophthalmology* 1991; **98**:823-33.
16. Alkuraya H, Kangave D, Abu El-Asrar AM. The correlation between optical coherence tomographic features and severity of retinopathy, macular thickness and visual acuity in diabetic macular edema. *Int Ophthalmol* 2005; **26**:93-9.
17. Vujosevic S, Midena E, Pilotto E, Radin PP, Chiesa L, Cavarzeran F. Diabetic macular edema: correlation between microperimetry and optical coherence tomography finding. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2006; **47**:3044-51.
18. Alasil T, Keane PA, Updike JF, Dustin L, Ouyang Y, Walsh AC *et al.* Relationship between optical coherence tomography retinal parameters and visual acuity in diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology* 2010; **117**: 2379-86.
19. Bandello F, Polito A, Del Borrello M. "Light" versus " classic" laser treatment for clinically significant diabetic macular edema. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2005; **89**:864-70.
20. Catier A, Tadayoni R, Paques M, Erginay A, Haouchine B, Gaudric A, *et al.* Characterization of macular edema from various etiologies by optical coherence tomography. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2005; **140**:200-6.
21. Otani T, Kishi S. Tomographic findings of foveal hard exudates in diabetic macular edema. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2001; **131**:50-4.
22. Ozdemir H, Karacorlu M, Karacorlu SA. Regression of serous macular detachment after intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide in patients with diabetic macular edema. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2005; **140**:251-5.
23. Massin P, Duguid G, Erginay A, Haouchine B, Gaudric A. Optical coherence tomography for evaluating diabetic macular edema before and after vitrectomy. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2003; **135**:169-77.
24. Laursen ML, Moeller F, Sander B, Sjoelie AK. Subthreshold micropulse diode laser treatment in diabetic macular edema. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2004; **88**:1173-9.
25. Ozdemir H, Karacorlu M, Karacorlu S. Serous macular detachment in diabetic cystoid macular oedema. *Acta Ophthalmol Scand* 2005; **83**:63-6.

